				1
RUBRIC on	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	BELOW EXPECTATIONS	NOT ACCEPTABLE (1)
Critical Thinking	(4)	(3)	(2)	
Analysis – Part	Clear statement of purpose	Purpose can be inferred	Vague sense of purpose;	No statement of
1	(controlling idea, question,	easily; work stays on	work strays from its	purpose; work has no
(CT2)	or claim); work stays on target	target	apparent task at times	direction or structure
Clarity of	Terminology, reasons,	Terminology, etc. largely	Some gaps in explaining	Significant lack of
Argument	conditions, assumptions clearly explained; audience	explained; audience can follow fairly clearly	terminology, etc.; tends to lose audience	explanation; audience largely lost
(CT5)	can follow clearly			
Evidence	Supporting evidence (data, information, statistics,	Supporting evidence displays validity and	Evidence lacks quantity, validity, or relevance	Evidence mostly lacks quantity, validity, and
(CT1)	quotations, examples) displays breadth, validity, and relevance	relevance	valuity, or relevance	relevance; evidence often undermines argument
Analysis – Part	Wide, deep, and fair-	Fair-minded and either	Thin analysis, at times	Analysis loses track of
2	minded analysis of most important ideas, points,	wide or deep analysis of ideas, points, etc.	not clearly related to purpose; inability to	its purpose; analysis is biased, absent, or
(CT2)	factors relevant to purpose	relevant to purpose	overcome preconceptions	irrelevant
Consideration	Thoughtful evaluation of	Acknowledgment of	No consideration, when	Misleading or belittling
of Opposition	opposing evidence,	opposing evidence, etc.	needed, of opposing	presentation of
	alternate interpretations,		evidence, etc.	opposing evidence, etc.
(CT3)	other valid points of view			

Conclusions	Valid conclusions always	Valid conclusions follow	Some conclusions do	Most conclusions do not
	follow from accurately	from mostly accurately	not follow from	follow from evidence;
(CT 4)	assessed, interpreted	assessed, interpreted	evidence; some	much evidence
	evidence	evidence	evidence misinterpreted	misinterpreted

Institutional SLO for Critical Thinking

Graduates will demonstrate the ability to use critical thinking skills to answer questions, solve problems, and resolve issues by producing work with the following features:

- CT1 sufficient valid evidence;
- CT2 sufficient breadth and depth of analysis;
- CT3 consideration of opposing evidence and alternate points of view;
- CT4 conclusions drawn from an accurate assessment of the evidence;
- CT5 arguments expressed clearly and precisely.